USSN. 09/869,841 Examiner: AMIRI, NAHID Group A.U.: 3635

## Remarks

It is observed that the Examiner rejected claims 20-21, 35, 54 for informalities and rejected claims 20-22, 34 as being obvious over US-6,023,889 (Husting) in view of US-5,852,837 in the name of Husting.

It is also observed that the Examiner considered claims 39-75 as being allowable over the prior art of record.

Accordingly, the applicant has cancelled claims 20-38 and has amended claim 54.

As to the Examiner's objection with regard to the drawings, more particularly the objection according to which the phrase "the raised peripheral rim of shower tray with respect to lower peripheral rim of the enclosure has a height from the ground which is greater than a distance from the ground of the lower peripheral rim of the enclosure" that is present in the claims is not supported by the drawings.

The applicant respectfully draws the Examiner's attention to figure 8 of the drawings wherein the above wording finds its graphic illustration.

In fact, the peripheral rim of the shower tray has a rim that has a height from the ground that is greater than the distance from the ground of the lower peripheral rim 53 of the enclosure 2.

In fact, the peripheral rim 32 of the shower tray is at an height (with respect to the ground, i.e. the base line in bold) that is greater that the height of the rim 53 from the same ground.

In order to better clarify the above, the applicant has introduced in figure 8 a double-head arrow to indicate the height difference between the two rims 32 and 53.

It is believed that the application is an allowable condition and grant thereof is respectfully requested.

It will be noted that a sincere effort has been made to positively respond to all of the points raised by th Examiner. PACE 10/11 \* RCVD AT 5/6/2004 9:56:29 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/11 \* DNIS:8729306 \* CSID: \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-16

USSN, 09/869,841 Examiner: AMIRI, NAHID Group A.U.: 3635

While it is believed that the amended claims properly define the present invention, applicant would be open to any suggestion the Examiner may have concerning different claim phraseology which, in the Examiner's opinion, more accurately defines the present invention.

Respectfully submitted,

Guido MODIANO (Reg. No. 19,928)

Agent for the Applicant

Via Meravigli 16

20123 MILAN-ITALY

Tel. +39-02-8590-7777

Fax. +39-02-863-860

Milan: May 6, 2004